CHROM. 9618

Note

Hydrophobic chromatography of N-acetyl-β-D-hexosaminidase

A. A. ARTYUKOV and N. V. MOLODTSOV

Pacific Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry, Far East Science Centre, USSR Academy of Sciences, Vladivostok-22 (U.S.S.R.)

(Received July 13th, 1976)

The hydrophobic chromatography of different natural biopolymers, including enzymes, is currently being developed with some success. This technique has been used successfully to purify chymotrypsin and chymotrypsinogen¹⁻³, ovalbumin and bovine serum albumin^{1,4}, β -galactosidase^{5,6} and many other enzymes⁷⁻¹⁷.

We have synthesized various alkylated derivatives of agarose, differing in the alkyl chain length and the presence of different functional groups, with a view to applying hydrophobic chromatography to the isolation and purification of N-acetyl- β -D-hexosaminidase (E.C. 3.2.1.30). Ethanolamine, ethylenediamine, tetramethylenediamine and heptamethylenediamine were added to Sepharose 4B activated by trichlorotriazine. The N-acyl derivatives of tetramethylenediaminoagarose and heptamethylenediaminoagarose were obtained by acylating the two compounds with benzoic and acetic acids (Table I).

EXPERIMENTAL

General methods

The activity of N-acetyl- β -D-hexosaminidase was determined in 0.1 *M* phosphate buffer (pH 3.5) using *p*-nitrophenyl-2-acetamido-2-deoxy- β -D-glucopyranoside as a substrate. The reaction was terminated by adding 1 ml of 1 *M* sodium carbonate solution, and the amount of *p*-nitrophenol obtained was determined spectrophotometrically at 440 nm on a Spekol instrument (Carl Zeiss, Jena, D.D.R.). The protein concentration was determined according to Lowry *et al.*¹⁸.

The activation of Sepharose 4B and addition of alkyldiamines was performed as described earlier¹⁹. In this instance, every twentieth sugar residue in agarose gel was modified (analysis of trichlorotriazine of activated Sepharose: N = 1.76%, Cl = 2.08%).

Acylation of aminoalkylsepharoses

Aminoalkyl-Sepharose (20 ml) in dioxan (20 ml) and 4 mM dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (0.8 g) were added to a 2 mM solution of benzoic acid (0.25 g) in methanol (2 ml). The mixture was agitated for 12 h at 18°, then the sorbents were filtered off and washed with aqueous methanol, aqueous acetone and water (200 ml of each). The corresponding N-acetyl sorbents were obtained by an anhydride method.

No.	Seph-O-C	Enzyme bonding (%) at pH 5.0 in 0.05 M phosphate buffer	Elution (%) of enzyme with 1 M NaCl in 0.05 M phosphate buffer (pH 5.0)	pK₄ and pKb values of ionizing groups
I	$\mathbf{R} = -\mathbf{OH}$	100	100	$pK_{a_1} = 3.95;$
11	$R = -NHCH_2CH_2OH$	100	100	$pK_{a_1} = 3.95;$ $pK_{b_1} = 7.0$ $pK_{a_1} = 4.05;$ $pK_{b_2} = 6.7;$
				$pK_{b_1}^1 = 6.7;$ $pK_{a_2} = 9.1$
III	$R = -NHCH_2CH_2NH_2$	28*	28	
IV	$R = -NHCH_2CH_2CH_2NH_2$	4.5*	4.5	
V	$\mathbf{R} = -\mathbf{N}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{H}_{2}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{H}_{2}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{H}_{2}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{O}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{H}_{3}$	4.5* 50*	50	$pK_{a_1} = 4.0;$ $pK_{b_1} = 6.8;$ $pK_{a_2} = 9.2$
VI	$\mathbf{R} = -\mathbf{N}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{H}_{2}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{H}_{2}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{H}_{2}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{H}_{2}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{H}_{2}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{H}_{2}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{H}_{2}$	49*	49	2
VII	$R = -NH(CH_2)_4 NHCOC_6 H_5$	95*	95	
VIII	$R = -NH(CH_2)_7NHCOCH_3$	100	33**	$pK_{a_1} = 3.9;$ $pK_{b_2} = 6.7;$ $pK_{a_2} = 9.1$

TABLE I

SORPTION OF N-ACETYL-β-D-HEXOSAMINIDASE ON	AMINOALKYLSEPHAROSES
--	----------------------

* Remaining N-acetyl- β -D-hexosaminidase was not bonded by sorbent when spotting sample.

** Remaining 67% of N-acetyl- β -D-hexosaminidase was eluted from sorbent with 50% ethylene glycol in 0.05 M phosphate buffer, pH 5.0.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A solution of purified N-acetyl- β -D-hexosaminidase²⁰, optical activity of 20.6 unit/mg (2.2 mg of protein), was passed through a column containing a hydrophobic sorbent (5 × 0.5 cm) equilibrated with 0.05 *M* phosphate buffer, pH 5.0. The enzyme was eluted with a 1 *M* solution of sodium chloride in 0.05 *M* phosphate buffer (pH 5.0) to determine the enzyme activity in each eluate fraction. The results obtained are given in Table I, where complete sorption of N-acetyl- β -D-hexosaminidase is considered to be represented by 100% bonding.

The results in Table I show that the sorption of N-acetyl- β -D-hexosaminidase was weak on sorbents I–VII, which contain free amino groups; however, it bonded well with sorbent VIII. The enzyme was eluted from sorbent VIII by 50% ethylene glycol in 0.05 *M* phosphate buffer (pH 5.0). In order to investigate the effect of hydrophobic sorbent charges, we determined the pK values of ionizing groups using these polymers (Table 1)²¹. By comparing the results in Table I and the pK values of ionizing polymer groups, it can be concluded that an increase in alkyl chain length results in stronger bonding of N-acetyl- β -D-hexosaminidase; at the same time, protonated amino groups inhibit hydrophobic bonding of the enzyme.⁴

NOTES

REFERENCES

- 1 B. H. J. Hofstee, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 50 (1973) 75.
- 2 V. M. Stepanov, G. I. Lavrenova, V. P. Borovikova and G. N. Balandina, J. Chromatogr., 104 (1975) 373.
- 3 S. K. Sharma and T. R. Hopkins, J. Chromatogr., 110 (1975) 321.
- 4 S. Hjertén, J. Chromatogr., 87 (1973) 325.
- 5 O. Raiband, A. Hogberg-Raiband and M. Goldberg, FEBS Lett., 50 (1975) 130.
- 6 A. H. Nishikawa and P. Bailon, Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 168 (1975) 576.
- 7 Z. Er-el, J. Zaidenzaig and S. Shaltiel, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 49 (1972) 383.
- 8 B. H. J. Hofstee, Anal. Biochem., 52 (1973) 430.
- 9 J. Porath, L. Sundberg, N. Fornstedt and I. Olsson, Nature (London), 245 (1973) 465.
- 10 B. H. J. Hofstee, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 53 (1973) 1137.
- 11 S. Shaltiel and Z. Er-el, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S., 70 (1973) 778.
- 12 S. Hjertén, J. Rosengren and S. Påhlman, J. Chromatogr., 101 (1974) 281.
- 13 C. C. Huang and D. Aminoff, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 371 (1974) 462.
- 14 R. Jost, T. Miron and M. Wilchek, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 362 (1974) 75.
- 15 P. O'Carra, S. Barry and T. Griffin, FEBS Lett., 43 (1974) 169.
- 16 J. Visser and M. Strating, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 384 (1975) 69.
- 17 F. Thanner, D. Palm and S. Shaltiel, FEBS Lett., 55 (1975) 178.
- 18 O. H. Lowry, N. J. Resebrough, A. L. Farr and R. J. Randall, J. Biol. Chem., 193 (1951) 265.
- 19 A. A. Artyukov and N. V. Molodtsov, Bioorg. Khim., 1 (1975) 1577.
- 20 E. V. Sundukova, M. G. Vafina and N. V. Molodtsov, Biokhimiya, 39 (1974) 384.
- 21 A. Yaron, M. C. Otey, H. A. Sober and E. Katchalski, Biopolymers, 11 (1972) 607.